



JPMorganChase

#6

Pat Opet

Global Chief Information Security Officer
JPMorganChase

Employer 2026 publication supports Global CISO; longer technology-controls title not independently refreshed. **Current-role source**

Direct business email and telephone: not publicly verified.

LinkedIn profile | Named professional biography / public route

Work base: New York City metropolitan area. Public self-reported profile location; actual work/travel arrangements unconfirmed.

Recommendation and rationale

First-group qualification. Signed NIST CSF input and supplier systemic-risk advocacy backed by enterprise management.

Research rank #6 - Priority qualification. Final research recommendation for this pass; ISA selection and outreach approval pending.

Company capacity and proposed benefit

Global banking group; annual reporting available. **Source:** www.jpmorganchase.com

Proposed company benefit: Stronger oversight of critical SaaS integrations, supplier concentration and recovery alternatives.

Proposed executive benefit: A channel to advance documented supplier-risk concerns in cross-sector policy and governance work.

What This Recruit Could Add to ISA

Develop a supplier concentration scenario with secure-default requirements and recovery alternatives. **Source:** www.jpmorganchase.com

Handbook connection: Tool H 57-59; B 37-40. Proposed output and benefits require agreement. **Source:** www.nacdonline.org

Introduction and next decision

No named introducer established. ISA to designate an approved contact route; no private relationship inferred.

ISA to reconcile account status and route; then validate current remit, contribution, corporate payer and participation directly.

Policy history and board-selection fit

Industry advocacy / collaboration: Direct threat-sharing interviews and supplier open letter; substantive industry advocacy, with legislative influence unverified.

July 7, 2023 - JPMorgan Chase comments on NIST CSF 2.0 discussion draft. Supports the Govern function in CSF 2.0 and asks NIST to create a separate supply-chain function to reflect systemic supplier risks. [Source: www.nist.gov](https://www.nist.gov)

Two-page signed letter visually reviewed. Date comes from the letter, not the July 12 filename. This does not establish that NIST adopted the requested function.

Benchmark: ISA recommendations, current ISA board practitioner profile, operating authority, company capacity, attributable policy work, concrete contribution, and portfolio balance. Corporate directorship alone does not determine priority.

Qualification status and ownership

Requirement	Evidence and remaining confirmation
Current role	Public role support; recheck directly before contact; direct confirmation pending.
ISA membership	Unknown current company/account and designated-representative status. Public board absence does not establish nonmembership.
Eligibility	Operating practitioner fit supported by research. ISA acceptance and employer designation pending.
Board feedback / introducer	No named introducer established. Introduction completion: not confirmed.
Corporate sponsorship	Published annual dues \$75,000; corporate payer, budget approver and written authorization not confirmed.
Executive interest	Not directly confirmed. Published interest in cyber topics is not membership interest.
Time / participation	Four meetings yearly, including two in Washington, DC and two virtual, plus agreed preparation. Availability, travel and term commitment not confirmed.
ISA output and benefits	Person-specific hypotheses documented; prospect and employer acceptance not confirmed.
Portfolio	Industry/expertise rationale assessed. Work base and DC access require direct confirmation.

[ISA public board / meeting baseline](#) | [Bylaws](#) | [Published sponsorship](#)

1631 owns researched case and source accuracy; ISA owns current membership/eligibility/introducer decision; employer/prospect must confirm funding, interest, availability and proposed output.

Image and contact provenance

Portrait: Exact asset observed on named public source. Cropped from authentic named portrait to focus on face and shoulders.

[Source: www.thestack.technology](https://www.thestack.technology)

Company mark: Current employer website brand asset [Source: www.jpmorganchase.com](https://www.jpmorganchase.com)

Attributable commentary and evidence ledger

10 / 10 substantive sightings recorded. Depth target met.

1. An open letter to third-party suppliers

2025-04-26 | Authored supplier letter [Source: www.jpmorganchase.com](https://www.jpmorganchase.com)

SaaS concentration and insecure integration create systemic exposure; calls for secure defaults and collaboration.

Attribution / limitation: Not evidence of ISA interest or employer sponsorship.

ISA relevance: Supplier assurance and shared accountability.

2. The Big Interview: JPMorgan's Global CISO, Pat Opet

2023-11-21 | Interview excerpt [Source: www.thestack.technology](https://www.thestack.technology)

Describes security as understanding system failure and flags hidden software backdoors and unsafe update mechanisms.

Attribution / limitation: Paywall: public excerpt only.

ISA relevance: Explain supply-chain assurance beyond vulnerability lists.

3. At JPMorgan Chase, threat detection takes the wide view

2023-12-06 | Original interview [Source: www.itbrew.com](https://www.itbrew.com)

Supports sharing threat intelligence across financial peers and connects state and criminal threats.

Attribution / limitation: Not evidence of ISA interest or employer sponsorship.

ISA relevance: Collective defense and industry-government cooperation.

4. Patchmageddon | J.P. Morgan Private Bank Asia

2026-08-18 | Employer webcast transcript [Source: privatebank.jpmorgan.com](https://privatebank.jpmorgan.com)

Discusses shrinking patch windows, dependency sprawl and operational infrastructure that is difficult to patch.

Attribution / limitation: Not evidence of ISA interest or employer sponsorship.

ISA relevance: Business continuity and prioritizing resilience investment.

5. Continuing our commitment to further open source security

Date not shown | Authored employer article [Source: www.jpmorganchase.com](https://www.jpmorganchase.com)

Discusses financial-sector collaboration to improve open-source and software supply-chain security.

Attribution / limitation: Not evidence of ISA interest or employer sponsorship.

ISA relevance: Cross-company assurance practices.

6. Innovation for business impact: Key takeaways from JPMorganChase's 11th Innovation Week

2026 | Employer event recap [Source: www.jpmorganchase.com](https://www.jpmorganchase.com)

Joint discussion emphasizes resilient design, controls for AI and measuring outcomes over activity.

Attribution / limitation: Joint remarks; individual attribution is limited.

ISA relevance: Board reporting based on outcomes.

7. Updates from DEV UP 2025

2025 | Employer event recap with quotation [Source: www.jpmorganchase.com](https://www.jpmorganchase.com)

Argues that security and controls support innovation and should be built into software delivery.

Attribution / limitation: Not evidence of ISA interest or employer sponsorship.

ISA relevance: Business-enabling security leadership.

8. JPMorgan Chase CISO explains why he's an 'AI optimist' | TechTarget

2023-10-24 | Original interview [Source: www.techtarget.com](https://www.techtarget.com)

Treats security as an enablement function and discusses AI investments and maintaining developer productivity.

Attribution / limitation: Not evidence of ISA interest or employer sponsorship.

ISA relevance: Economic value and investment priorities.

9. Project Glasswing: Opet statement on independent defensive AI evaluation

April 7, 2026 | Own attributed statement in the initiative publisher announcement [Source: www.anthropic.com](https://www.anthropic.com)

Supports cross-industry evaluation of defensive AI for critical infrastructure while retaining rigorous, independent decisions about participation and contribution.

Attribution / limitation: Counts the named statement once across announcement copies and press coverage. Vendor/partner announcement, not independent model assurance or ISA commitment.

10. JPMorgan Chase signed comments on the NIST CSF 2.0 discussion draft

July 2023; archive filename identifies July 12 | Government-published signed corporate comment letter [Source: www.nist.gov](https://www.nist.gov)

The closing text signed by Opet urges NIST to create a separate supply-chain function to address supply-chain risk management.

Attribution / limitation: Corporate submission, not sole-authorship proof or evidence that NIST adopted the recommendation. First-page text was not extractable in this pass; closing argument and signature verified. Existing policy source newly included in the substantive-sighting ledger.

ISA relevance: Business-facing governance, practical controls or policy participation; ISA interest remains unconfirmed.

Published mentions and correspondence - not counted

2023-07-18 | Feedback for CSF 2.0 Core - Discussion Draft [Source: www.nist.gov](https://www.nist.gov)

A JPMorgan employee describes reporting through Opet and proposes changes to framework control monitoring and measurable risk tolerance.

The redacted sender is not identified as Opet. This confirms organizational engagement and a name mention; the email recommendations are not counted as Opet's own views.

Recorded-media sources pending review - not counted

The following descriptions or joint overviews need speaker-specific remarks before inclusion in the ten-sighting total.

Mean Time to Adapt | RSA Conference [Source: www.rsaconference.com](https://www.rsaconference.com)

Publisher description or joint overview reviewed; obtain speaker-specific substantive recording/transcript evidence before counting.