



CAVA

#41

Eli Edelkind

CISO and leader, Infrastructure & Technology Operations
CAVA

Public role source revisited. Employer/self sources and association/event biographies are distinguished; direct role acceptance is not established. [Current-role source](#)

Direct business email and telephone: not publicly verified.

[LinkedIn profile](#) | [Named professional biography](#) / [public route](#)

Work base: Not established from reviewed sources. Actual work base, DC access and willingness require direct confirmation.

Recommendation and rationale

Reserve / second-group qualification. Operating security/technology leadership; verify executive remit and deepen company benefit.

Research rank #41 - Further development. Final research recommendation for this pass; ISA selection and outreach approval pending.

Company capacity and proposed benefit

FY2025 CAVA revenue \$1,169.3m. [Source: investor.cava.com](#)

Proposed company benefit: Test CAVA's risk reporting and operating resilience; verify enterprise sponsorship.

Proposed executive benefit: Contribute practical technology and security decision support.

What This Recruit Could Add to ISA

Show how visibility and control effectiveness change executive risk prioritization. [Source: www.ox.security](#)

Handbook connection: Tool K 66-68; L 69-71. Proposed output and benefits require agreement. [Source: www.nacdonline.org](#)

Introduction and next decision

No named introducer established. ISA to designate an approved contact route; no private relationship inferred.

ISA to reconcile account status and route; then validate current remit, contribution, corporate payer and participation directly.

Policy history and board-selection fit

Policy evidence gap: Reviewed commentary supports an operating or governance perspective, but attributable testimony, formal policy input or a government advisory role has not been verified in this pass.

No additional authoritative policy-history evidence was established in the deeper check. This is an evidence gap, not proof of no policy involvement.

Benchmark: ISA recommendations, current ISA board practitioner profile, operating authority, company capacity, attributable policy work, concrete contribution, and portfolio balance. Corporate directorship alone does not determine priority.

Qualification status and ownership

Requirement	Evidence and remaining confirmation
Current role	Public role support; recheck directly before contact; direct confirmation pending.
ISA membership	Unknown current company/account and designated-representative status. Public board absence does not establish nonmembership.
Eligibility	Operating practitioner fit supported by research. ISA acceptance and employer designation pending.
Board feedback / introducer	No named introducer established. Introduction completion: not confirmed.
Corporate sponsorship	Published annual dues \$75,000; corporate payer, budget approver and written authorization not confirmed.
Executive interest	Not directly confirmed. Published interest in cyber topics is not membership interest.
Time / participation	Four meetings yearly, including two in Washington, DC and two virtual, plus agreed preparation. Availability, travel and term commitment not confirmed.
ISA output and benefits	Person-specific hypotheses documented; prospect and employer acceptance not confirmed.
Portfolio	Industry/expertise rationale assessed. Work base and DC access require direct confirmation.

ISA public board / meeting baseline | Bylaws | Published sponsorship

1631 owns researched case and source accuracy; ISA owns current membership/eligibility/introducer decision; employer/prospect must confirm funding, interest, availability and proposed output.

Image and contact provenance

Portrait: Exact named source asset; manually selected. Source: www.murtec.com

Company mark: Exact named source asset; manually selected Source: investor.cava.com

Attributable commentary and evidence ledger

2 / 10 substantive sightings recorded. Depth target remains open; no biographies, awards or duplicate syndications added to fill the gap.

1. Podcast: Effectively Communicating Risk | OX Security

2024 | Original publisher interview summary [Source: www.ox.security](https://www.ox.security)

Emphasizes visibility, risk prioritization, control effectiveness and communicating security in terms of business objectives.

Attribution / limitation: Not evidence of ISA interest or employer sponsorship.

ISA relevance: Management-ready assurance and reporting.

2. E23: Collaborative Defense: Integrating Cybersecurity Across Departments with Eli Edelkind

2024 | Publisher podcast summary [Source: www.spreaker.com](https://www.spreaker.com)

Advocates collaboration across departments and standardization to strengthen cyber defenses.

Attribution / limitation: Not evidence of ISA interest or employer sponsorship.

ISA relevance: Cross-functional governance and common frameworks.

Video titles and context for further review

Discovery leads below are not counted. Titles help prioritize review; the executive's actual remarks determine substance.

AI Summit at MURTEC: Why Securing AI is Different & What ... - YouTube [Source: www.youtube.com](https://www.youtube.com)

Review context: Restaurant technology and AI security; screen for operational contribution.

Attribution / duplicate check: Verify Edelkind's own remarks and panel attribution before counting.

CAVA Cut False Positives from 12 a Day to Zero | Upwind [Source: www.youtube.com](https://www.youtube.com)

Review context: Cloud-security operating case; screen for measurable security improvement.

Attribution / duplicate check: Vendor/customer claim; independently verify metrics and do not infer policy engagement.

Events and topic interest - not counted

Organizer listings and short reactions are research leads. They do not establish delivered remarks or ISA interest.

2026-03-18 | The Human Layer: AI, Cyber Risk, and Workforce Evolution [Source: events.secureworld.io](https://events.secureworld.io)

SecureWorld lists Edelkind in a panel connecting AI, cyber risk and workforce evolution.

Limit: March 18 agenda date used; October 13 top-of-page header is not assumed to be the event date. Panel-wide abstract, no personal remarks reviewed.